



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/673,388

09/30/2003

Chih-Tsung Shih

10119731

3746

34283 7590 11/29/2007  
QUINTERO LAW OFFICE, PC  
2210 MAIN STREET, SUITE 200  
SANTA MONICA, CA 90405

EXAMINER

LAVARIAS, ARNEL C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2872

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/29/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/673,388

Applicant(s)

SHIH ET AL.

Examiner

Arnel C. Lavarias

Art Unit

2872

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arnel C. Lavarias. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Nelson A. Quintero (Applicants' representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US6807342, US5430574.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative requested interview to discuss rejection of Claim 1 under Fan and Tehrani. In particular, Applicants' representative requested further explanation of Figures 2, 4, and 6 of Fan with respect to the claimed limitations. Applicants' representative and Applicants will discuss this and submit a response to the outstanding Office Action dated 9/18/07.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
ARNEL LAVARIAS  
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required